

## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Applicant responds herein to the Office Action dated May 22, 2006

Claims 1, 3, 4-12, 14-23, and 25-33 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Nielsen et al., U.S. Publication No. 2001/0030663 A1 and Oosterholt et al., U.S. Publication No. 2001/0008399 A1 and further in view of Zaras, U.S. Patent No. 6,625,644. Reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Independent claim 1 has been amended to provide, in part, for, “[a] communication terminal accessible to a communication network, said communication terminal including: ... a third display mark which provides an access-related information allowing said communication terminal to access a past-referred to file stored in a computer device connected to said communication network, and said access-related information being linked to said file, said access-related information including a URL address of said past-referred to file; ... said past-referred to file having been referred to in a communication mode of said communication terminal, and said URL address of said past-referred to file having been automatically created in said communication mode of said communication terminal.”

Independent claim 12 has been amended to provide, in part, for, “[a] method of controlling a communication terminal accessible to a communication network, said method including: displaying, in a stand-by mode of said communication terminal, at least one of: ... a third display mark which provides an access-related information allowing said communication terminal to access a past-referred to file stored in a computer device connected to said communication network, and said access-related information being linked to said file, said access-related information including a URL address of said past-referred to file; ... said past-referred to file having been referred to in a communication mode of said communication terminal, and said URL address of said past-referred to file having been automatically created in said communication mode of said communication terminal.”

Independent claim 23 has been amended to provide, in part, for, “[a] program to be executed to implement a method of controlling a communication terminal with access to a communication network, said program including: displaying, in a stand-by mode of said

communication terminal, at least one of: ... a third display mark which provides an access-related information allowing said communication terminal to access a past-referred to file stored in a computer device connected to said communication network, and said access-related information being linked to said file, said access-related information including a URL address of said past-referred to file; ... said past-referred to file having been referred to in a communication mode of said communication terminal, and said URL address of said past-referred to file having been automatically created in said communication mode of said communication terminal.”

Antecedent basis for the amendments to independent claims 1, 12, and 23 is found in the specification, for example, on page 20, lines 5-8, 15-17; page 21, lines 2-11.

The Examiner contends that Oosterholt et al. teaches the use of a personal computer enabling a user to browse web pages by means of a bookmark or by a history means, (Office Action, page 4, line 12, to page 5, line 1; page 7, line 20, to page 8, line 11). The Examiner admits that the combination of Nielsen et al. and Oosterholt et al. fails to teach the feature of the access-related information including a URL address, (Office Action, page 5, lines 21-22; page 9, lines 9-10).

The Examiner contends that Zaras teaches a process and system for searching web pages in a website, the website access information being a URL address to the web page, (Office Action, page 6, lines 1-7; page 9, lines 11-17). However, Zaras does not disclose, teach, or suggest the feature of amended independent claims 1, 12, and 23 of the URL address of a past-referred to file being automatically created in a communication mode of a communication terminal.


The Examiner’s mention of the teaching of Oosterholt et al. that the web pages may be represented by a number of web addresses, citing paragraph 0021, lines 1-4, (Office Action, page 6, lines 8-9; page 9, lines 18-19), does not anticipate the feature of the URL address of the past-referred to file being automatically created. On the contrary, the cited portion of Oosterholt et al. teaches the compilation of a set of web addresses by a user.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, allowance of claims 1, 3-12, 14-23, and 25-33 is respectfully requested.

Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the application, allow the claims as amended and pass this case to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING  
SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY  
THROUGH THE UNITED STATES  
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
EFS FILING SYSTEM  
ON AUGUST 16, 2006



---

MAX MOSKOWITZ  
Registration No.: 30,576  
OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP  
1180 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10036-8403  
Telephone: (212) 382-0700